

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,966	08/04/2003	Nnochiri Ekwuribe	9233-22DV	5037
24239 MOORE & V	7590 08/31/2007 AN ALLEN PLLC	FXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 13706			ROBINSON, HOPE A	
Research Triar	esearch Triangle Park, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/633,966	EKWURIBE, NNOCHIRI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hope A. Robinson	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	Nugust 2006.				
·	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	•			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>36-72</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>49-61 and 66-70</u> is/st Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>36-37,40-48,62, 65,71 and 72</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>38-39 and 63-64</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/orthographs.</u>	are withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,966

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

- 1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1652.
- 2. Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed November 2, 2005 on August 14, 2006, is acknowledged.

Claim Disposition

3. Claims 36-72 are pending. Claims 36-48, 62-65 and 71-72 are under examination.

Sequence Compliance

4. As previously stated, the sequence listing filed in computer readable form (CRF) and paper copy on August 9, 2001 has been received and entered. It appears that the statement that affirms that the content of the sequence listing information in the CRF is identical to the paper copy of the sequence listing and where applicable includes no new matter is missing the new matter statement. It is suggested that Applicant re-submit this statement regarding no new matter and the sameness of the CRF and the paper copy of the sequence listing.

Correction is required.

New-Claim Rejections - 35 USC → 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 36-37, 40-48, 62, 65 and 71-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claimed invention is directed to a method of releasing cholecystokinin in a subject, the method comprising administering a luminal cholecystokinin releasing factor polypeptide-oligomer conjugate, however, no structure-function correlation is made in the claims (see for example claim 36). The claims do not *per se* recite a functional limitation and a skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the genus of proteins contained in the claimed invention, especially since no correlation is made between function and structure. Thus, the claims are not adequately described.

The specification fails to provide any additional representative species of the claimed genus to show that applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. A representative number of species means that the species, which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice,

Application/Control Number: 10/633,966

Art Unit: 1652

disclosure of drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, for example, structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus.

Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus. Further, *Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar*, *935 F.2d 1555*, *1563-64*, *19 USPQ2d 1111*, *1117* (*Fed. Cir.1991*), states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in *possession of the invention*. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, *whatever is now claimed*" (See page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed" (See *Vas-Cath* at page 1116). The skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of polypeptides, and therefore, conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. *See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993)*.

Therefore, for all these reasons the specification lacks adequate written description, and one of skill in the art cannot reasonably conclude that the applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time the instant application was filed.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,966

Art Unit: 1652

Withdrawn-Claim Rejection-102

6. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102 is <u>withdrawn</u> by virtue of submission of an amendment.

Withdrawn-Claim Objection

7. The objections to the claims are <u>withdrawn</u> by virtue of submission of an amendment.

Response to Arguments

8. The response filed on August 14, 2006 has been considered. The rejections of record have been withdrawn, thus the arguments presented by applicant are moot. Note that a new ground of rejection has been implemented under 35 U.S.C. 112 for the reasons set forth above.

Conclusion

9. No claims are presently allowable. Claims 38, 39, 63 and 64 are objected to as depending from a rejected based claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hope A. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-0957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, can be reached at (571) 272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hope Robinson, MS

Patent Examiner

/Hope Robinson/